1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 08cr0256-L		
11	Plaintiff,) ORDER OF CRIMINAL		
12	v.) FORFEITURE		
13	ALEXANDER WEIR V (10), aka "Lil' Brick,"		
14	Defendant.		
15)		
16	WHEREAS, in the Superseding Information in the above-captioned case, the United States		
17	sought forfeiture of all right, title and interest in specific properties of the above-named Defendant,		
18	ALEXANDER WEIR V (10) ("Defendant"), pursuant to Title 21, United States Code,		
19	Section 853(a)(1), as property obtained directly or indirectly as the result of the commission of the		
20	violations charged in the Superseding Information; and		
21	WHEREAS, on or about October 5, 2009, Defendant pled guilty to Count 1 of the		
22	Superseding Information, which plea included a consent to the forfeiture allegations of the		
23	Superseding Information and an agreement to entry of a \$20,000 judgment against the Defendant		
24	in favor of the United States; and		
25	WHEREAS, all the assets pled to by Defendant were previously forfeited pursuant to the		
26	Preliminary and Amended Orders of Criminal Forfeiture as to co-defendant Daphne Rosalinda		
27	Jackson (4); and		
28	//		

1	WHEREAS, by virtue of the admissions of the Defendant set out in the plea agreement and		
2	guilty plea, the Court determined that \$20,000 (U.S. dollars) represents the the proceeds obtained		
3	as a result of the commission of the conspiracy to distribute cocaine base in the form of crac		
4	cocaine as charged in Count 1in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846 and		
5	841(a)(1), as charged in the Superseding Information; and		
6	WHEREAS, by virtue of said guilty plea and the Court's findings, the United States is no		
7	entitled to an Order of Forfeiture and a judgment in its favor against the Defendant in the amour		
8	of \$20,000, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853 and Rule 32.2(b) of the Federal Rules of Crimina		
9	Procedure; and		
10	WHEREAS, Rule 32.2(c)(1) provides that "no ancillary proceeding is required to the extent		
11	that the forfeiture consists of a money judgment;" and		
12	WHEREAS, by virtue of the facts set forth in the plea agreement, the United States ha		
13	established the requisite nexus between the \$20,000 judgment and the offense; and		
14	WHEREAS, the United States, having submitted the Order herein to the Defendant through		
15	his attorney of record, to review, and no objections having been received;		
16	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
17	1. Defendant ALEXANDER WEIR V (10) shall forfeit to the United States the sum		
18	of \$20,000 pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a)(1); and		
19	2. Judgment shall be entered in favor of the United State against Defendant		
20	ALEXANDER WEIR V (10) in the amount of \$20,000.00 with interest to accrue thereon in		
21	accordance with law; and		
22	3. This Court shall retain jurisdiction in the case for the purpose of enforcing the order		
23	of forfeiture and collecting and enforcing the judgment; and		
24	4. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3), this Order of Forfeiture shall be made final as to the		
25	Defendant at the time of sentencing and is part of the sentence and included in the judgment; and		
26			
27			

2

08cr0256

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

- 5. The United States may, at any time, move pursuant to Rule 32.2(e) to amend this Order of Forfeiture to substitute property having a value not to exceed \$20,000 to satisfy the money judgment in whole or in part; and
- 6. The United States may take any and all actions available to it to collect and enforce the judgment.

DATED: March 11, 2010

M. James Lorenz / United States District Court Judge

3 08cr0256